

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL MUEHL,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

09-cv-16-bbc

BELINDA SCHRUBBE, Health Service Manager;
MARY SLINGER, Registered Nurse;
FRAN JENNINGS, Registered Nurse;
GAIL WALTZ, Registered Nurse;
CHARLENE REITZ, Registered Nurse; and

Defendants.

In this case, plaintiff Michael Muehl is proceeding on his claims that defendants Schrubbe, Slinger, Reitz and Jennings failed to provide him appropriate care for a MRSA infection and that defendants Reitz, Waltz and Schrubbe failed to provide him appropriate care for his wounds after he cut himself. On June 9, 2009, I denied plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief because it was outside the scope of the claims raised in this lawsuit. Now, he moves for reconsideration of the order.

In his motion, plaintiff states that he is in imminent danger from himself. He continues to allege that, while incarcerated at the Green Bay Correctional Institution, prison

staff ignore him when he makes threats about committing suicide and then fail to stop him from attempting suicide. However, as I stated previously, the defendants in this case do not work at the Green Bay Correctional Institution and do not have control over the conditions of that prison. As I told plaintiff in the June 9 order, if he believes that Green Bay Correctional Institution officials are failing to protect him from harming himself, he will have to file a new and separate lawsuit raising that claim against the individuals he believes are responsible for the alleged unconstitutional acts, and he may renew his request for preliminary injunctive relief in that case.

Plaintiff says he does not have time to complete the grievance process before he files a new lawsuit, which he is required to do under 42 U.S.C. § 1977e(a). On the other hand, filing a grievance may get him the protection he seeks more quickly than he could receive it from this court. In any event, I cannot allow plaintiff to seek a form of relief that is unrelated to the claims in this suit and that defendants have no authority to provide.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, dkt. #40, is DENIED.

Entered this 23rd day of June, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

BARBARA B. CRABB
District Judge